## Compass Academy - Board Meeting Minutes

Date February 22, 2019
Location: City Year Denver
Members Present on the call: Marcia Fulton, Mary Seawell, Jim Balfanz, Bob Balfanz, Christine Morin, Jessica Roberts, Pami Perea
Guest and support on the call: Alexis Urquhart, Denise Thorne, Nate Kerr, Brandon Jones, Natalie
DeSole, Chris Scott, Jeff Jablow
Not present: Jerry Torrez, Ana Soler, Dexter Corto
Jim will facilitate call in place of Mary. Called meeting to order 4:05 pm

- Ripples/Joys:
- Marcia: Colorado Health Foundation, Caring for Colorado Visit, 4-hour visit. Uplifting, powerful materials, they are on a learning journey and are interested in what CA is doing. Hillary's comment was, "You're doing it all. We just have to get out of your way." Beautiful visit. Foundation looking to make connections with other schools.
- Nate: Just finished first Choice window we and had the most families choose us first than ever before. Jessica and Paulina's work as well as volunteers has been fantastic. Data to highlight:
- $831^{\text {st }}$ choice. Last year 72. Additional data is located at the end of the deck
- Jim motions to approve January minutes. Motion passes. January minutes are approved.
- Financial update for monthly financials, budget, funding process.
- Chris - Board Report Financials
- Review of Balance Sheet/Profit/Loss and Income Statement. No major changes since last month.
■ Overall message: Have not been able to access revenues this month. CA school staff are watching spending and financials overall.
- Jessica thanked Chris for his overview and agreed with update.
- Marcia added:
- Pointed out the fundraising line (EI POMAR contribution)
- Sent out pledge form via email to Board Members for optional contributions in efforts to close the gap.
- Jim encourages donation
- Budgeting for next year coming up: Next month a deep dive into initial draft of FY '20 budget. Another deep dive in April. Approval no later than May board meeting.
- Nate: Internal Review (IR) Overview
- Housekeeping: Please follow along the data slides as best as possible acknowledging those on the phone. Shorter overview than in prior meetings. Board materials include summary data/materials.
- Nate provides framing for shifts to the IR. Moving away from combining performance data indicators with implementation measures. In future IR and decks will provide nuance to strategies and data in general.
- Denise: Overview/Highlights
- Short-Term highlights - no questions
- Priority 1: Data Cycles and Coaching. Key strategies/action items - no major changes since last board meeting.
- Priority 2: Literacy. Deeper dive into most recent STAR performance.

1. Brandon shed light on the deep dive that happens with the data. For instance, $7^{\text {th }}$ grade teacher noticed group of ELL students that need extra support inside the "remained" portion.
2. Last STAR assessment showed positive results in getting kids to "buy in" to the test and engage.
3. Denise: Pushing engagement and literacy strategies based on results. Still see so many of our kids growing, but not always closing the desired gaps. Discussed literacy events and strategies driven by the data.
4. Denise: STAR is showing us about testing culture and motivation but still unclear about how predictive STAR is for PARCC.
5. Brandon: Acknowledge the big gaps so many of our students. Literacy is a long-game. Would love to continue to track 3 years of data (retained students vs. new students), etc.
6. Bob Question about Test motivation: Big change in last assessment could attribute to improvement (Village meetings around STAR just before the test and after ("shout-outs"). Immediate reflection after the test is completed. Students reflecting on comparison to classmates and where they fall in grade level. Individual discussions with students immediately after, provided data to have those conversations.

- Priority 3: Math

1. Denise: Looking deep at omitted responses by subpopulation, grade level, and shifts from assessment to assessment.
2. Based on data, CA has identified several strategies and interventions for students. Includes a group of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade group for more intensive testing counseling through the Diverse Learners team.

- Priority 4: Attendance

1. Host of strategies being used to tackle attendance but remains a challenge.
2. Positives: CY lifting up their focus list students, tardy analysis.

- Priority 5: Culture

1. Moving forward with existing culture initiatives as well as a testing strategy/culture campaign.

- Jim's question about success in ANet. Denise and Brandon feel confident in their partnership that continues to deepen.
- Marcia and Nate: Strategy Update
- Put together a task force for Innovation zone strategy.
- Innovation Zone meeting came together to generate ideas about what an Innovation Zone could be and what some of those potential pathways might be. Want to investigate:
- Sustainability of the model
- Clear messaging about the work we're trying to do as an Innovation Zone
- How might we message the transference of CA to an Innovation Zone
- What are the benefits of creating an Innovation Zone? (district vs. charter, SEL emphasis, collective to influence district, autonomy)
- Potential risks include reapproval every 3 years (exposure)
- Would involve shifts in governance which may mitigate the above risks
- Overall, there are multiple pathways and potential partnerships, including DPS. And that moving in this direction has benefit not just for Compass but the entire district and its students
- Potential models
- Mixed model. New structure. Groups of schools work together on a specific problem of practice.
■ Collaborative agreement. New structure. Not part of a zone but a shared understanding.
- True district innovation zone. Gathering and collecting other schools. Would release charter and make CA a district school.
- Creating a district zone. Is there a pathway or opportunity in our zone?
- Stay as a single-site charter.
- Jim: think about further identifying and prioritizing what the benefits are. We run the risk of engaging in a strategy without being clear about priorities we should emphasize. We need to be really clear about our priorities as we move forward.


## - ED/Director Update

- Natalie introduced herself as a new potential member of the Board
- Started Rooted Group. Master's in Social Work. Passion is helping people think about the use of data. How do you take information, metrics, stories and how does it translate and provide meaning?
- Family in international development, saw a lot of differences in educational opportunity.
- Worked as evaluator at multiple levels in education. At large foundation helping marginalized populations reduce graduation gap.
- High School Update
- Nate: XQ Super School Live event is on March $7^{\text {th }}$. Nate is working to get a diverse group of members to attend ( 40 total seats held).
- XQ Milestones were included in the handouts for the Board Meeting for your review.
- Trauma-Informed Care and Approach.
- Dr. Eldridge Greer meet was cancelled due to the strike and Marcia is working to reschedule it.
- Enrollment Updates
- Included in the board packet for your review. Marcia attributed out great $1^{\text {st }}$ round numbers to everyone's hard work. Round 2 starts in April through August.


## - Strike Update

- CA was respectful during the strike and there were no major shifts in school operations.
- Lower attendance due to the strike (students taking care of siblings).
- CA/Marcia are now looking at the results of the strike and their potential effects on the budget and future strategy.
- We have no update from the district yet about effects the strike may have about Attendance and its inclusion in the SPF. The district did ask the school to code absences differently during the strike.
- Natalie left the call to discuss her nomination to the board. Jim asked for questions about Natalie's approval/concerns, or to wait until the next call to make decisions. Jim asked to confirm if there is a quorum. No quorum, so the official vote will be postponed until the March board meeting.
Jim thanked all members and concluded the meeting at 5:50 pm.


# COMPASS ACADEMY <br> BUDGET BOARD REPORT <br> FEBRUARY 2019 <br> Compiled 3/12/19 

Overview - Attachments included in this report:

- Balance Sheet compared to previous year as of February 28, 2019
- Profit \& Loss Budget vs. Actual through February 28, 2019
- Bank Transaction Detail for February 2019
- Profit \& Loss Budget vs. Actual Expanded

Highlights and Exceptions to the Report -

## Balance Sheet

Assets - Cash in the bank accounts as of February 28th was $\$ 296,573$ down from $\$ 1,070,763$ in February 2018. Total accounts receivable was $\$ 242,689$ compared to $\$ 378$ in the previous year. Total assets were $\$ 539,262$ on February 28th, down from $\$ 1,071,141$ at the same time last year.

Liabilities - Accounts payable as of February 28th was $\$ 22,628$ compared to $\$ 6,226$ last year. Other current liabilities were $\$ 124,814$ versus last year's $\$ 80,194$. Total liabilities were $\$ 147,441$ versus $\$ 86,420$ at the same time last year.

Equity - As of February 28th, net income was negative $(\$ 84,796)$ compared to $\$ 771,682$ at the same time last year. Total modified accrual equity is $\$ 391,820$ compared to $\$ 984,722$ the previous year. Of this amount TABOR is $\$ 123,000, \$ 5,897$ is reserved, and the unassigned fund balance is $\$ 262,923$.

## Income Statement Compared to Budget

Income - Total income to date is $\$ 2,752,908$ or $59 \%$ of the $\$ 4,629,879$ budgeted.
Expense - Expenses incurred to date are $\$ 2,837,704$ or $61 \%$ of the $\$ 4,627,298$ budgeted. We are $67 \%$ of the way through the year.

Line items to note that are currently greater than $10 \%$ or $\$ 10 \mathrm{~K}$ over budget are:

- Repairs and Maintenance (0430) - 78\% of budget spent
- Other Purchased Services (0500) - $106 \%$ of budget spent - Over by $\$ 5,878$
- To and From School Transportation (0511) - $\$ 5,635$ spent with $\$ 0$ budgeted
- Books and Materials (0640) - 83\% of budget spent
- Dues and Fees (0800) - 127\% of budget spent - Over by $\$ 640$


# Compass Academy <br> Balance Sheet Prev Year Comparison <br> As of February 28, 2019 
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## ASSETS

Current Assets
Checking/Savings
$\quad 8101 \cdot$ First Bank
Total Checking/Savings
Accounts Receivable
$\quad 8142 \cdot$ Grants Receivable
$8153 \cdot$ Accounts Receivable

Total Accounts Receivable
Total Current Assets
TOTAL ASSETS
LIABILITIES \& EQUITY
Liabilities
Current Liabilities
Accounts Payable
7421 • Accounts Payabl
Total Accounts Payable
$\frac{22,627.67}{22,627.67} \begin{array}{lll}6,225.83 & 16,401.84 & 263.45 \% \\ & 26,401.84 & 263.45 \%\end{array}$
Other Current Liabilities

| 2110 - Direct Deposit Liabilities | 0.00 | 325.00 | -325.00 | -100.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7461 - YE Payroll Liabilities | 123,492.31 | 63,741.59 | 59,750.72 | 93.74\% |
| 7471 - Payroll Liabilities | 1,321.34 | 16,127.28 | -14,805.94 | -91.81\% |
| Total Other Current Liabilities | 124,813.65 | 80,193.87 | 44,619.78 | 55.64\% |
| Total Current Liabilities | 147,441.32 | 86,419.70 | 61,021.62 | 70.61\% |
| otal Liabilities | 147,441.32 | 86,419.70 | 61,021.62 | 70.61\% |
| quity |  |  |  |  |
| 6710 - Non-Spendable Fund Balance | 5,897.27 | 1,304.00 | 4,593.27 | 352.25\% |
| 6721 - TABOR 3\% Emergency Reserve | 123,000.00 | 72,000.00 | 51,000.00 | 70.83\% |
| 6770 - Unassigned Fund Balance | 347,718.95 | 139,735.31 | 207,983.64 | 148.84\% |
| Net Income | -84,795.90 | 771,682.25 | -856,478.15 | -110.99\% |
| otal Equity | 391,820.32 | 984,721.56 | -592,901.24 | -60.21\% |
| L LIABILITIES \& EQUITY | $\underline{\underline{539,261.64}}$ | $\underline{\underline{1,071,141.26}}$ | $\underline{-531,879.62}$ | -49.66\% |


|  | JuF 18 -Feb 19 | Bud | \$ Over Budget | \% of Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income |  |  |  |  |
| 1000 - Local Revenue Source | 537,979.53 | 1,273,580.00 | -735,600.47 | 42.24\% |
| $3000 \cdot$ State Revenue | 226,250.88 | 346,898.00 | -120,647.12 | 65.22\% |
| 4000 - Federal Revenue | 134,779.75 | 229,531.00 | -94,751.25 | 58.72\% |
| 5700 - PPR | 1,853,897.61 | 2,779,870.00 | -925,972.39 | 66.69\% |
| Total Income | 2,752,907.77 | 4,629,879.00 | -1,876,971.23 | 59.46\% |
| Gross Profit | 2,752,907.77 | 4,629,879.00 | -1,876,971.23 | 59.46\% |
| Expense |  |  |  |  |
| $0100 \cdot$ Salaries | 1,454,506.44 | 2,165,011.00 | -710,504.56 | 67.18\% |
| 0200 - Employee Benefits | 314,285.25 | 520,560.00 | -206,274.75 | 60.37\% |
| $0300 \cdot$ Purchased Profess and Tech Serv | 341,452.47 | 854,894.00 | -513,441.53 | 39.94\% |
| 0400 - Purchased Prop. Services | 10,468.88 | 16,000.00 | -5,531.12 | 65.43\% |
| 0430 - Repairs and Maint | 1,560.00 | 2,010.00 | -450.00 | 77.61\% |
| 0500 - Other Purchased Services | 111,592.71 | 105,715.00 | 5,877.71 | 105.56\% |
| 0511 • To \& From School Transportation | 5,634.72 | 0.00 | 5,634.72 | 100.0\% |
| 0513 - Contracted Field Trips | 5,334.71 | 12,000.00 | -6,665.29 | 44.46\% |
| 0515 - Shuttle Fees | 130.00 | 1,000.00 | -870.00 | 13.0\% |
| 0520 - Insurance Premiums | 30,693.34 | 41,889.00 | -11,195.66 | 73.27\% |
| 0580 - Travel, Regis, Ent | 13,478.42 | 20,241.00 | -6,762.58 | 66.59\% |
| 0594 - District Purchased Services | 370,562.22 | 554,657.00 | -184,094.78 | 66.81\% |
| 0595 - Denver Overhead Costs | 75,561.62 | 116,983.00 | -41,421.38 | 64.59\% |
| 0596 - Charter Food Authority | 1,495.16 | 0.00 | 1,495.16 | 100.0\% |
| $0600 \cdot$ Supplies | 55,393.55 | 119,706.00 | -64,312.45 | 46.28\% |
| $0640 \cdot$ Books and Materials | 4,990.92 | 6,001.00 | -1,010.08 | 83.17\% |
| 0700 - Property | 17,787.06 | 46,080.00 | -28,292.94 | 38.6\% |
| 0800 - Other Objects | 590.33 | 11,005.00 | -10,414.67 | 5.36\% |
| 0810 - Dues and Fees | 3,026.87 | 2,386.00 | 640.87 | 126.86\% |
| 0900 - XQ Objects | 19,159.00 | 31,160.00 | -12,001.00 | 61.49\% |
| Total Expense | 2,837,703.67 | 4,627,298.00 | -1,789,594.33 | 61.33\% |
| t Income | -84,795.90 | 2,581.00 | -87,376.90 | -3,285.39\% |


| Type | Date | Num | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1072 - Bill.com Money Out Clearing |  |  |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/07/2019 | Bill.com | Charter Substitute Teacher Network |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/07/2019 | Bill.com | G\&G Consulting Group |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/07/2019 | Bill.com | Kaiser Permanente |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 02/07/2019 | Bill.com | Wells Fargo Vendor Financial Services LLC |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/07/2019 | Bill.com | Alerio Technology Group |
| General Journal | 02/07/2019 | Health liab |  |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 02/12/2019 | Bill.com | Unum Life Insurance Company |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 02/12/2019 | Bill.com | Shirt Works, LLC |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | Bill.com | Charter Substitute Teacher Network |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | Bill.com | Eldorado Artesian Springs, Inc |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | Bill.com | Paulina Molina |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 02/12/2019 | Bill.com | Paulina Molina |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | Bill.com | Heather Riley, PhD |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 02/12/2019 | Bill.com | Hanover |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | Bill.com | Sharon Newman |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | Bill.com | Shirt Works, LLC |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | Bill.com | William Wallace (v) |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | Bill.com | Paulina Molina |
| General Journal | 02/12/2019 | Health liab |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/26/2019 | Bill.com | Henrietta Sandoval-v |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/26/2019 | Bill.com | Pinnacol Assurance |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 02/26/2019 | Bill.com | William Wallace (v) |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/26/2019 | Bill.com | Paulina Molina |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/26/2019 | Bill.com | Unum Life Insurance Company |
| General Journal | 02/26/2019 | XQ |  |

Total 1072 • Bill.com Money Out Clearing
8101 • First Bank

| Check | $02 / 01 / 2019$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Check | $02 / 01 / 2019$ |
| Deposit | $02 / 01 / 2019$ |
| Check | $02 / 01 / 2019$ |
| Check | $02 / 01 / 2019$ |
| Check | $02 / 01 / 2019$ |
| Check | $02 / 01 / 2019$ |
| Bill Pmt -Check | $02 / 04 / 2019$ |
| Check | $02 / 05 / 2019$ |
| Check | $02 / 05 / 2019$ |
| Check | $02 / 05 / 2019$ |
| Check | $02 / 06 / 2019$ |
| Check | $02 / 06 / 2019$ |
| Check | $02 / 06 / 2019$ |
| Check | $02 / 06 / 2019$ |
| General Journal | $02 / 07 / 2019$ |
| Check | $02 / 08 / 2019$ |
| Check | $02 / 08 / 2019$ |
| Check | $02 / 08 / 2019$ |

DBT

Indee

DBT
DBT
DBT
ACH
DBT
DBT
DBT
DBT
DBT
DBT
Health liab
2/08/2019

02/08/2019

Compass Academy Bank Transaction Detail

As of February 28, 2019

| Amount | Balance |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.00 |
| -1,875.00 | -1,875.00 |
| -6,691.25 | -8,566.25 |
| -12,654.13 | -21,220.38 |
| -665.24 | -21,885.62 |
| -3,645.00 | -25,530.62 |
| 25,530.62 | 0.00 |
| -44.55 | -44.55 |
| -890.00 | -934.55 |
| -3,135.00 | -4,069.55 |
| -325.95 | -4,395.50 |
| -24.30 | -4,419.80 |
| -18.90 | -4,438.70 |
| -1,250.00 | -5,688.70 |
| -1,706.30 | -7,395.00 |
| -6,515.63 | -13,910.63 |
| -44.50 | -13,955.13 |
| -77.88 | -14,033.01 |
| -24.84 | -14,057.85 |
| 14,057.85 | 0.00 |
| -9.00 | -9.00 |
| -1,182.00 | -1,191.00 |
| -5.06 | -1,196.06 |
| -24.30 | -1,220.36 |
| -48.60 | -1,268.96 |
| 1,268.96 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 295,396.05 |
| -50.00 | 295,346.05 |
| -58.94 | 295,287.11 |
| 385.00 | 295,672.11 |
| -12.41 | 295,659.70 |
| -13.12 | 295,646.58 |
| -50.00 | 295,596.58 |
| -950.16 | 294,646.42 |
| -1,189.83 | 293,456.59 |
| -24.95 | 293,431.64 |
| -50.36 | 293,381.28 |
| -500.00 | 292,881.28 |
| -15.67 | 292,865.61 |
| -29.98 | 292,835.63 |
| -134.17 | 292,701.46 |
| -20.12 | 292,681.34 |
| -25,530.62 | 267,150.72 |
| -156.97 | 266,993.75 |
| -22.50 | 266,971.25 |
| -25.96 | 266,945.29 |

Compass Academy Bank Transaction Detail

As of February 28, 2019

| Type | Date | Num | Name | Memo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Check | 02/08/2019 | DBT | Endicia |  |
| Check | 02/08/2019 | DBT | EZ Texting |  |
| Check | 02/09/2019 | DBT | Facebook |  |
| Check | 02/10/2019 | DBT | Safeway | teaher food |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/11/2019 | ACH | United Healthcare | Inv \#692971420385 |
| Check | 02/11/2019 | DBT | Einstein Brothers | teacher food |
| Check | 02/11/2019 | DBT | Papa Johns | teacher food |
| Check | 02/12/2019 | 2435 |  | VOID: |
| General Journal | 02/12/2019 | Health liab |  | Bill.com 02/12/19 Payments |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 02/12/2019 | 2436 | Abraham Lincoln Lunchroom |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | 2437 | Denver Public Schools |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | 2438 | Raquel Zapata |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | 2439 | Bernabe Valdivia-Ramos |  |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 02/12/2019 | 2440 | Laura Musalem-Canahuate |  |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 02/12/2019 | 2441 | Monique Desousa |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | 2442 | Mara Klem-O'Connor |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | 2443 | Raquel Zapata |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | 2444 | Laura Musalem-Canahuate |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | 2445 | Laura Van Horn |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | 2446 | Steicy Mendoza |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | 2447 | Mara Klem-O'Connor |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | 2448 | Eva Hernandez |  |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 02/12/2019 | 2449 | Eva Hernandez |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | 2450 | Steicy Mendoza |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | 2451 | Bernabe Valdivia-Ramos |  |
| Bill Pmt-Check | 02/12/2019 | 2452 | Eva Hernandez |  |
| Check | 02/12/2019 | DBT | Tacos Rapidos |  |
| Check | 02/12/2019 | DBT | Santiago's | teacher food |
| Check | 02/12/2019 | DBT | Eon |  |
| Check | 02/12/2019 | DBT | Papa Johns | staff food |
| Check | 02/12/2019 | DBT | Little Caesars | Family event |
| Check | 02/13/2019 | DBT | Center For Adolescent Studies | Henrietta wellbeing |
| Check | 02/13/2019 | DBT | Sam's Club | Lunch for teacher's during strike |
| Check | 02/13/2019 | DBT | King soopers | Lunch for teacher's during strike |
| Check | 02/13/2019 | DBT | Walmart | Staff food |
| Check | 02/13/2019 | DBT | Einstein Brothers | staff food |
| Check | 02/13/2019 | DBT | Hobby Lobby | art supplies |
| Check | 02/13/2019 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 02/13/2019 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 02/14/2019 | DBT | Microsoft |  |
| Check | 02/14/2019 | DBT | Walmart |  |
| Check | 02/14/2019 | DBT | San Antonio Fresh Mexican Bakery | valentine's |
| Check | 02/14/2019 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 02/14/2019 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| Check | 02/14/2019 | DBT | Amazon.com |  |
| General Journal | 02/15/2019 | 2.15.19 PR | Support Program - 2000-5000:2500 | First Bank |
| General Journal | 02/15/2019 | 2.15.19 PR | Support Program - 2000-5000:2500 | First Bank |


| Split | Amount | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0533 - Postage | -163.95 | 266,781.34 |
| Student Recruitment | -14.05 | 266,767.29 |
| Student Recruitment | -50.00 | 266,717.29 |
| 0580 - Travel, Regis, Ent | -36.94 | 266,680.35 |
| 7421 - Accounts Payable | -138.26 | 266,542.09 |
| 0580 - Travel, Regis, Ent | -77.45 | 266,464.64 |
| 0580 - Travel, Regis, Ent | -264.54 | 266,200.10 |
| 0610 - General Supplies | 0.00 | 266,200.10 |
| 1072 - Bill.com Money Out Clearing | -14,057.85 | 252,142.25 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -300.00 | 251,842.25 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -16,472.88 | 235,369.37 |
| 7421 - Accounts Payable | -171.60 | 235,197.77 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -150.00 | 235,047.77 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -67.56 | 234,980.21 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -60.00 | 234,920.21 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -60.00 | 234,860.21 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -174.30 | 234,685.91 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -150.00 | 234,535.91 |
| 7421 - Accounts Payable | -10.00 | 234,525.91 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -240.00 | 234,285.91 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -183.48 | 234,102.43 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -174.30 | 233,928.13 |
| 7421 - Accounts Payable | -182.40 | 233,745.73 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -735.00 | 233,010.73 |
| 7421 . Accounts Payable | -150.00 | 232,860.73 |
| 7421 - Accounts Payable | -165.00 | 232,695.73 |
| 0630 - Food -Snack (BOLD FS FUND ONLY) | -33.96 | 232,661.77 |
| 0580 - Travel, Regis, Ent | -184.75 | 232,477.02 |
| $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -483.75 | 231,993.27 |
| 0580 - Travel, Regis, Ent | -351.83 | 231,641.44 |
| 0630 - Food -Snack (BOLD FS FUND ONLY) | -40.00 | 231,601.44 |
| 0580 - Travel, Regis, Ent | -285.84 | 231,315.60 |
| 0584 . Staff Appreciation | -155.33 | 231,160.27 |
| 0584 - Staff Appreciation | -98.79 | 231,061.48 |
| 0580 - Travel, Regis, Ent | -36.95 | 231,024.53 |
| 0580 - Travel, Regis, Ent | -77.45 | 230,947.08 |
| 0610 - General Supplies | -62.93 | 230,884.15 |
| 0610 General Supplies | -29.40 | 230,854.75 |
| 0610 - General Supplies | -39.96 | 230,814.79 |
| 0534 - Online Services | -72.69 | 230,742.10 |
| 0630 - Food -Snack (BOLD FS FUND ONLY) | -15.46 | 230,726.64 |
| 0630 - Food -Snack (BOLD FS FUND ONLY) | -10.60 | 230,716.04 |
| $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -142.41 | 230,573.63 |
| $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -19.99 | 230,553.64 |
| $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -34.77 | 230,518.87 |
| 0313b - Payroll Expenses | -136,465.16 | 94,053.71 |
| 0313b - Payroll Expenses | -2,553.32 | 91,500.39 |



| Type | Date | Num | Name | Memo | Split | Amount | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 02/26/2019 | 2467 | Raquel Zapata |  | 7421 - Accounts Payable | -140.00 | 285,347.39 |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 02/26/2019 | 2468 | Bernabe Valdivia-Ramos |  | 7421 - Accounts Payable | -180.00 | 285,167.39 |
| Bill Pmt -Check | 02/26/2019 | 2469 | Raquel Zapata |  | 7421 - Accounts Payable | -140.00 | 285,027.39 |
| Check | 02/26/2019 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -49.99 | 284,977.40 |
| Check | 02/26/2019 | DBT | Walmart | office supplies | Office Supplies | -89.25 | 284,888.15 |
| Deposit | 02/26/2019 |  |  | Deposit | Denver Foundation | 12,000.00 | 296,888.15 |
| Deposit | 02/26/2019 |  |  | Deposit | 1740a - Uniforms/Other | 43.76 | 296,931.91 |
| Check | 02/27/2019 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -90.00 | 296,841.91 |
| Check | 02/27/2019 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -110.48 | 296,731.43 |
| Check | 02/27/2019 | DBT | Walmart | office supplies | Office Supplies | -47.04 | 296,684.39 |
| Check | 02/28/2019 | DBT | Bill.com | Monthly charges | 0313a Bank Fees | -119.35 | 296,565.04 |
| Check | 02/28/2019 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -11.94 | 296,553.10 |
| Check | 02/28/2019 | DBT | Amazon.com |  | $0610 \cdot$ General Supplies | -22.47 | 296,530.63 |
| Check | 02/28/2019 |  |  | Service Charge | 0313a - Bank Fees | -3.00 | 296,527.63 |
| Deposit | 02/28/2019 |  |  | Interest | 1500 - Interest Income | 45.10 | 296,572.73 |
| First Bank |  |  |  |  |  | 1,176.68 | 296,572.73 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,176.68 | 296,572.73 |



| Income |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1000 - Local Revenue Source |  |  |  |  |
| 1500 - Interest Income | 473.47 |  |  |  |
| 1700 P Pupil Activities |  |  |  |  |
| 1760 - Gifts/Contributions | 2,000.00 |  |  |  |
| Total 1700 - Pupil Activities | 2,000.00 |  |  |  |
| 1740 Fees |  |  |  |  |
| 1740a - Uniforms/Other | 11,577.89 |  |  |  |
| 1740 - Fees - Other | 0.00 | 14,000.00 | -14,000.00 | 0.0\% |
| Total $1740 \cdot$ Fees | 11,577.89 | 14,000.00 | -2,422.11 | 82.7\% |
| 1900 - Other Revenue from Local Source |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 - Other Revenue | 37.50 | 7,000.00 | -6,962.50 | 0.54\% |
| Total 1900 - Other Revenue from Local Source | 37.50 | 7,000.00 | -6,962.50 | 0.54\% |
| 1920 - Grant income |  |  |  |  |
| Change Education | 896.66 |  |  |  |
| A to Z | 700.00 |  |  |  |
| Denver Foundation | 20,450.00 |  |  |  |
| El Pomar | 50,000.00 |  |  |  |
| 1920 - Grant income - Other | 96,991.53 | 729,331.00 | -632,339.47 | 13.3\% |
| Total $1920 \cdot$ Grant income | 169,038.19 | 729,331.00 | -560,292.81 | 23.18\% |
| 1954 - Mill Levy Funding |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 MLO Literacy | 26,576.76 | 39,782.00 | -13,205.24 | 66.81\% |
| 1998 MLO Technology | 7,248.03 | 10,850.00 | -3,601.97 | 66.8\% |
| 2003 MLO Academic Achievement | 6,039.85 | 9,041.00 | -3,001.15 | 66.81\% |
| 2003 MLO Textbooks | 8,456.19 | 12,656.00 | -4,199.81 | 66.82\% |
| 2012 MLO Secondary Arts | 30,544.27 | 45,706.00 | -15,161.73 | 66.83\% |
| 2012 MLO Enrichment and Support | 26,305.99 | 39,391.00 | -13,085.01 | 66.78\% |
| 2012 MLO Technology | 13,715.70 | 20,530.00 | -6,814.30 | 66.81\% |
| 2012 MLO Textbooks | 13,715.70 | 20,530.00 | -6,814.30 | 66.81\% |
| 2012 MLO Tutoring | 60,463.59 | 90,793.00 | -30,329.41 | 66.6\% |
| 2016 MLO Classroom Technology | 20,215.04 | 29,913.00 | -9,697.96 | 67.58\% |
| 2016 MLO Equalization | 51,226.99 | 20,571.00 | 30,655.99 | 249.03\% |
| 2016 MLO Great Teachers | 44,406.00 | 65,703.00 | -21,297.00 | 67.59\% |
| 2016 MLO Support for WholeChild | 45,938.37 | 67,974.00 | -22,035.63 | 67.58\% |
| 2017-19 MLO Tech Bond | 0.00 | 49,809.00 | -49,809.00 | 0.0\% |
| Total 1954 - Mill Levy Funding | 354,852.48 | 523,249.00 | $-168,396.52$ | 67.82\% |
| Total $1000 \cdot$ Local Revenue Source | 537,979.53 | 1,273,580.00 | -735,600.47 | 42.24\% |
| 3000 - State Revenue |  |  |  |  |
| 3113 - Capital Construction Fund | 27,234.13 | 41,941.00 | -14,706.87 | 64.93\% |
| 3139 - ELPA PD | 13,550.75 | 13,551.00 | -0.25 | 100.0\% |
| $3140 \cdot$ ELPA Special Ed | 11,405.80 | 11,406.00 | -0.20 | 100.0\% |
| $3150 \cdot$ Gifted and Talented | 2,746.60 |  |  |  |
| $3183 \cdot$ EARSS | 122,996.08 | 200,000.00 | -77,003.92 | 61.5\% |
| 3192a. School Counselor Corps | 48,317.52 | 80,000.00 | -31,682.48 | 60.4\% |
| Total 3000 - State Revenue | 226,250.88 | 346,898.00 | $-120,647.12$ | 65.22\% |
| 4000 - Federal Revenue |  |  |  |  |
| 4424 - Title IV SS \& AA | 0.00 | 4,653.00 | -4,653.00 | 0.0\% |
| 4954 - Federal Revenue - passthrough |  |  |  |  |
| Parent Involvement | 749.04 | 1,816.00 | -1,066.96 | 41.25\% |
| 4010a - Title I | 97,416.02 | 164,558.00 | -67,141.98 | 59.2\% |
| 4365a - Title III | 6,308.75 | 11,005.00 | -4,696.25 | 57.33\% |
| 4367a - Title II | 6,272.63 | 10,976.00 | -4,703.37 | 57.15\% |
| 7365a - Title III Set Aside | 0.00 | 433.00 | -433.00 | 0.0\% |
| 9206a - Charter Credit | 24,033.31 | 36,090.00 | -12,056.69 | 66.59\% |
| Total 4954 - Federal Revenue - passthrough | 134,779.75 | 224,878.00 | -90,098.25 | 59.94\% |
| Total 4000 - Federal Revenue | 134,779.75 | 229,531.00 | -94,751.25 | 58.72\% |
| 5700 - PPR | 1,853,897.61 | 2,779,870.00 | -925,972.39 | 66.69\% |
| Total Income | 2,752,907.77 | 4,629,879.00 | $-1,876,971.23$ | 59.46\% |
| Gross Profit | 2,752,907.77 | 4,629,879.00 | -1,876,971.23 | 59.46\% |
| Expense |  |  |  |  |
| 0100 Salaries |  |  |  |  |
| 100. Administration | 173,863.58 | 346,583.00 | -172,719.42 | 50.17\% |
| 200 - Professional Staff Salaries | 1,055,890.66 | 1,665,346.00 | -609,455.34 | 63.4\% |
| 300 - Other Professional | 176,718.38 | 50,206.00 | 126,512.38 | 351.99\% |
| 400 Paraprofessional | 19,606.85 | 33,333.00 | -13,726.15 | 58.82\% |
| $500 \cdot$ Clerical | 28,426.97 | 49,543.00 | -21,116.03 | 57.38\% |
| 0100 - Salaries - Other | 0.00 | 20,000.00 | -20,000.00 | 0.0\% |
| Total 0100 - Salaries | 1,454,506.44 | 2,165,011.00 | -710,504.56 | 67.18\% |


|  | Jul '18-Feb 19 | Budget | \$ Over Budget | \% of Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clerical Benefits |  |  |  |  |
| 5.0211 - L\&STD Insurance | 2.70 |  |  |  |
| 5.0221 - Medicare | 357.74 | 718.00 | -360.26 | 49.83\% |
| 5.0230 - PERA | 2,092.22 | 3,094.00 | -1,001.78 | 67.62\% |
| 5.0251 - Health Benefits | 2,453.15 | 3,780.00 | -1,326.85 | 64.9\% |
| 5.0290 - PCOPS | 3,237.21 | 4,726.00 | -1,488.79 | 68.5\% |
| Total Clerical Benefits | 8,143.02 | 12,318.00 | $-4,174.98$ | 66.11\% |
| Other Professional Benefits |  |  |  |  |
| 3.0211 - L\&STD Insurance | 5.40 |  |  |  |
| 3.0221 - Medicare | 1,996.02 | 2,863.00 | -866.98 | 69.72\% |
| 3.0230 - PERA | 11,222.60 | 12,331.00 | -1,108.40 | 91.01\% |
| 3.0251 - Health Benefits | 7,747.51 | 15,120.00 | -7,372.49 | 51.24\% |
| 3.0290 - PCOPS | 17,326.73 | 18,837.00 | -1,510.27 | 91.98\% |
| Total Other Professional Benefits | 38,298.26 | 49,151.00 | -10,852.74 | 77.92\% |
| Paraprofessional Benefits |  |  |  |  |
| 4.0221 - Medicare | 284.84 | 483.00 | -198.16 | 58.97\% |
| 4.0230 - PERA | 1,247.01 | 2,082.00 | -834.99 | 59.9\% |
| 4.0251 - Health Benefits | 0.00 | 3,780.00 | -3,780.00 | 0.0\% |
| 4.0290 - PCOPS | 1,864.40 | 3,180.00 | -1,315.60 | 58.63\% |
| Total Paraprofessional Benefits | 3,396.25 | 9,525.00 | -6,128.75 | 35.66\% |
| Principal / Director Benefits |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0211 - L\&STD Insurance | 5.40 |  |  |  |
| 1.0221 - Medicare | 1,906.87 | 3,911.00 | -2,004.13 | 48.76\% |
| 1.0230 - PERA | 9,968.72 | 16,846.00 | -6,877.28 | 59.18\% |
| 1.0251 - Health Benefits | 6,106.80 | 11,340.00 | -5,233.20 | 53.85\% |
| 1.0290 PCOPS | 15,394.92 | 25,734.00 | -10,339.08 | 59.82\% |
| Total Principal / Director Benefits | 33,382.71 | 57,831.00 | -24,448.29 | 57.73\% |
| Teachers/Certified Benefits |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0211 - L\&STD Insurance | 453.60 | 0.00 | 453.60 | 100.0\% |
| 2.0221 - Medicare | 15,873.01 | 23,419.00 | -7,545.99 | 67.78\% |
| 2.0230 - PERA | 60,379.32 | 100,852.00 | -40,472.68 | 59.87\% |
| 2.0251 - Health Benefits | 59,273.80 | 113,400.00 | -54,126.20 | 52.27\% |
| 2.0252 - Dental Benefits | 73.23 |  |  |  |
| 2.0253 - Vision Benefits | 120.01 |  |  |  |
| 2.0290 - PCOPS | 94,892.04 | 154,064.00 | -59,171.96 | 61.59\% |
| Total Teachers/Certified Benefits | 231,065.01 | 391,735.00 | -160,669.99 | 58.99\% |
| Total 0200 - Employee Benefits | 314,285.25 | 520,560.00 | -206,274.75 | 60.37\% |
| 0300 - Purchased Profess and Tech Serv |  |  |  |  |
| 0313a - Bank Fees | 1,405.14 | 2,424.00 | -1,018.86 | 57.97\% |
| 0313b - Payroll Expenses | 3,473.18 |  |  |  |
| 0320 - Educational Prof Services |  |  |  |  |
| 0320a - Contracted services | 194,810.65 | 417,730.00 | -222,919.35 | 46.64\% |
| 0320b Substitutes | 24,630.00 | 37,000.00 | -12,370.00 | 66.57\% |
| 0320c - Whole Child Enrichment | 26,212.50 | 30,150.00 | -3,937.50 | 86.94\% |
| 0320 - Educational Prof Services - Other | 0.00 | 241,495.00 | -241,495.00 | 0.0\% |
| Total 0320 - Educational Prof Services | 245,653.15 | 726,375.00 | -480,721.85 | 33.82\% |
| 0331 - Legal | 0.00 | 2,207.00 | -2,207.00 | 0.0\% |
| 0332 - Audit | 7,500.00 | 7,538.00 | -38.00 | 99.5\% |
| 0339 - Business Services | 52,571.50 | 76,350.00 | -23,778.50 | 68.86\% |
| 0340 - Technical Services | 30,849.50 | 40,000.00 | -9,150.50 | 77.12\% |
| Total 0300 - Purchased Profess and Tech Serv | 341,452.47 | 854,894.00 | $-513,441.53$ | 39.94\% |
| 0400 P Purchased Prop. Services |  |  |  |  |
| 0442 - Rental of Equipment | 10,468.88 | 16,000.00 | -5,531.12 | 65.43\% |
| Total 0400 - Purchased Prop. Services | 10,468.88 | 16,000.00 | -5,531.12 | 65.43\% |
| 0430 - Repairs and Maint | 1,560.00 | 2,010.00 | -450.00 | 77.61\% |
| 0500 - Other Purchased Services |  |  |  |  |
| 0531 - Phone/Office | 1,470.69 | 5,400.00 | -3,929.31 | 27.24\% |
| 0533 - Postage | 2,059.60 | 2,010.00 | 49.60 | 102.47\% |
| 0534 - Online Services | 82,255.36 | 64,990.00 | 17,265.36 | 126.57\% |
| 0540 - Advertising |  |  |  |  |
| Staff Recruitment | 2,182.00 | 7,035.00 | -4,853.00 | 31.02\% |
| Student Recruitment | 23,575.92 | 26,280.00 | -2,704.08 | 89.71\% |
| 0540 - Advertising - Other | 49.14 |  |  |  |
| Total 0540 - Advertising | 25,807.06 | 33,315.00 | -7,507.94 | 77.46\% |
| Total 0500 - Other Purchased Services | 111,592.71 | 105,715.00 | 5,877.71 | 105.56\% |
| 0511 - To \& From School Transportation | 5,634.72 |  |  |  |
| 0513 . Contracted Field Trips | 5,334.71 | 12,000.00 | -6,665.29 | 44.46\% |
| 0515 - Shuttle Fees | 130.00 | 1,000.00 | -870.00 | 13.0\% |
| 0520 - Insurance Premiums |  |  |  |  |


| Jul '18-Feb 19 | Budget | \$ Over Budget | \% of Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15,442.70 |  |  |  |
| 4,180.64 | 5,629.00 | -1,448.36 | 74.27\% |
| 11,070.00 | 14,636.00 | -3,566.00 | 75.64\% |
| 0.00 | 21,624.00 | -21,624.00 | 0.0\% |
| 30,693.34 | 41,889.00 | -11,195.66 | 73.27\% |
| 6,201.36 | 6,030.00 | 171.36 | 102.84\% |
| 7,277.06 | 14,211.00 | -6,933.94 | 51.21\% |
| 13,478.42 | 20,241.00 | -6,762.58 | 66.59\% |
| 68,064.41 |  |  |  |
| 106,894.95 |  |  |  |
| 164,229.22 |  |  |  |
| 31,373.64 |  |  |  |
| 0.00 | 554,657.00 | -554,657.00 | 0.0\% |
| 370,562.22 | 554,657.00 | -184,094.78 | 66.81\% |
| 75,561.62 | 116,983.00 | -41,421.38 | 64.59\% |
| 1,495.16 |  |  |  |
| 4,849.73 | 15,000.00 | -10,150.27 | 32.33\% |
| 24,455.04 | 75,000.00 | -50,544.96 | 32.61\% |
| 29,304.77 | 90,000.00 | -60,695.23 | 32.56\% |
| 369.01 | 3,198.00 | -2,828.99 | 11.54\% |
| 79.88 |  |  |  |
| 9,509.10 | 15,000.00 | -5,490.90 | 63.39\% |
| 2,338.87 | 1,508.00 | 830.87 | 155.1\% |
| 13,791.92 | 10,000.00 | 3,791.92 | 137.92\% |
| 55,393.55 | 119,706.00 | -64,312.45 | 46.28\% |
| 4,990.92 | 6,001.00 | -1,010.08 | 83.17\% |
| 2,444.69 | 6,030.00 | -3,585.31 | 40.54\% |
| 15,342.37 | 40,050.00 | -24,707.63 | 38.31\% |
| 17,787.06 | 46,080.00 | -28,292.94 | 38.6\% |
| 0.00 | 10,000.00 | -10,000.00 | 0.0\% |
| 590.33 | 1,005.00 | -414.67 | 58.74\% |
| 590.33 | 11,005.00 | -10,414.67 | 5.36\% |
| 3,026.87 | 2,386.00 | 640.87 | 126.86\% |
| 19,159.00 | 31,160.00 | -12,001.00 | 61.49\% |
| 2,837,703.67 | 4,627,298.00 | -1,789,594.33 | 61.33\% |
| $\xrightarrow{-84,795.90}$ | 2,581.00 | $\underline{-87,376.90}$ | $\underline{-3,285.39 \%}$ |



## FY20 Budget Process

- Marcia and Chris met before break to begin FY20 budget process
- Met with Denise to discuss staffing implications prior to break
- Marcia sent budget to SDD and to Jessica for first draft look
- Marcia, Denise, and Nate met in February to discuss schedule implications
- March: Bring budget to Executive/Finance Committee
- April: Full Board review
- May/June: Full Board approval


## ED/Director Update: Innovation Zone Task Force



Phase 1: Strategy Creation: We explore our options for alternative evaluation and autonomy and decide what path forward to prioritize (COMPLETE)

Phase 2: Partnership Exploration: We engage in a series of initial conversations with potential zone partners and district stakeholders to build initial buy in and clarity on a collaborative approach (IN PROGRESS)

Phase 3: Initial Plan Creation + Early Advocacy: We gather all key stakeholders to make initial decisions on our zone approach. Simultaneously we do very early work on broader advocacy for our approach (engaging stakeholders outside of our immediate partners).

Phase 4: Plan Agreement Creation + Support Campaign: We make key decisions on our approach (as a group of school partners) and begin applying for our zone. We simultaneously begin more intensive advocacy (engaging community members, media etc.)

Phase 5: Approve Plan + Launch: Our application is approved and we launch a zone approach along with a set of school and district partners.

## Innovation Zone: Phase 2

## Our Current Phase 2 Process (see full action plan)

1. Vet the idea with Anne Rowe (completed)
2. Vet the idea with Eldridge Greer and clarify his role in gathering school around a SEL focus
3. Develop initial overview materials to be shared with potential partners (our "why" for this process, some basics on zones, our key learning questions, the steps we're hoping schools will engage in)
4. Reach out to school leaders of our highest likelihood partners for initial interest conversations
5. Meet with Barbara, Angela, and Carrie to build initial interest and buy in

## Enrollment Update after Round 1 Lottery

- We met our original recruitment goal in Round 1 by recruiting over $80 \%$ of our final goal of 100 students.
- 83 incoming 6th-graders chose us first (a Compass record!)
- 92 total accepted incoming 6th-graders after lottery
- We determined high-leverage strategies that yielded positive results, which include:
- Home visits as our most high-return strategy
- Utilizing current Compass families in recruitment as a critical approach
- A new recruitment video for high engagement online
- Texting as an efficient and effective way to reach out to prospective families and boost engagement


## Teacher Hiring/Retention for FY20

$94 \%$ of current teaching staff invited and returning in the fall
$100 \%$ of current Administration team is returning
$100 \%$ of current Front Office support team is returning
$100 \%$ of current Wellbeing team is returning (DPS positions may shift)

Currently looking for a Special Education teacher

On an ongoing basis we are sourcing bilingual candidates and keeping an eye out for strong candidates to continue to build our pool.

## Our bottom line for the remainder of the year in Internal Review

Look at our Strategic Plan through SPF-focus


## Compass is measured on several types of criteria in the SPF and some are more heavily weighted than others.

| 2018 SPF Results |  | SPF Analysis |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Our <br> Points | Matrix | Max. <br> Possible <br> Points |  |
| 4 | MGP Literacy | 12 | Growth-Literacy |
| 2 | MGP Math | 12 | Growth-Math |
| 2 | MGP Compared Literacy | 6 | Growth-Literacy |
| 2 | MGP Compared Math | 6 | Growth-Math |
| 2 | MGP ELL | 12 | Subpop Growth-Literacy \& Math |
| 3 | MGP Compared ELL | 6 | Subpop Growth-Literacy \& Math |
| 1 | Growth IEP | 4 | Subpop Growth-Literacy \& Math |
| 1 | MGP ACCESS | 6 | Growth-Literacy |
| 1 | On-Track | 6 | Growth-Literacy |
| 0.5 | Catch-up Lit | 3 | Growth-Literacy |
| 1 | Catch-up Math | 3 | Growth-Math |
| 0.5 | Keep-up Lit | 3 | Growth-Literacy |
| 0.5 | Keep-up Math | 3 | Growth-Math |
| 0 | Status Lit | 4 | Status |
| 0 | Status Math | 4 | Status |
| 0 | Status Science | 4 | Status |
| 1 | Status Compared Lit | 3 | Status |
| 1.5 | Status Compared Math | 3 | Status |
| 2 | Status Compared Science | 3 | Status |
| 0 | Status ELL | 4 | Status |
| 0 | Status Compared IEP | 6 | Status |
| 2 | Status Compared ELL | 6 | Status |
| 0.5 | Attendance | 3 | Attendance |
| 2 | Student Satisfac | 3 | Student Satisfac |
| 1.5 | Parent Satisfac | 3 | Parent Satisfac |
|  |  |  |  |

Our earned points, colors indicating the level of points earned, and description of each measurement are on the left.

The maximum possible points for each measurement, and a "grouping" of similar measurements are on the left.

Primary Measurements in the SPF:
$\rightarrow$ Growth-Literacy ( 36 total pts.)
$\rightarrow$ Growth-Math (24 total pts.)
$\rightarrow$ Subpop Growth - Math \& Literacy (ELL and SpEd) (22 total pts.)
$\rightarrow$ Status ( 37 total pts.)
$\rightarrow$ Attendance ( 3 total pts.)
$\rightarrow$ Student Satisfaction (3 total pts.)
$\rightarrow$ Parent Satisfaction (3 total pts.)

Based on the groupings of SPF measures, we recommend focusing on Literacy and Math growth (which includes subpopulations) through test engagement efforts. Also recommended are Attendance and Student/Parent Satisfaction.

| SPF Measurement <br> Criteria Category | Importance of <br> SPF Metric | Our Ability to <br> Measure | Our Ability to <br> Influence the <br> Score | Recommended <br> Focus Areas |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Growth-Literacy | High | Yes | Positive |  |
| Growth-Math | High | Unknown | Positive | Primarily through <br> test engagement |
|  <br> Literacy | High | Somewhat | Very limited |  |
| Status | High | Yes | Somewhat |  |
| Attendance | Low | Somewhat | Positive |  |
| Student Satisfaction | Low | Unknown | Positive |  |
| Parent Satisfaction | Low |  |  |  |

If we are focusing on Growth-Literacy and Math (which includes Subpop Growth-Literacy), Attendance, and Student/Parent Satisfaction, what are our desired outcomes?

Our desired outcomes are based on the SPF-focus measures to target. We recommend focusing on these 4 outcomes for the remainder of the year based on remaining time in order to achieve our original strategic priorities thrugh an SPF lens.

| SPF Criteria | Outcome | Reasoning/Our Bet | Metrics to Measure <br> Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Growth-Literac <br> y \& Math, <br> Subpopulation | 95\% test engagement | Students fully completing <br> the assessment gives us a <br> better likelihood of getting <br> full possible points on the <br> SPF. | ANet omitted answers <br> (ELA and Math). <br> STAR opt outs. |
| Growth-Literac <br> y | Avg. 1.5 years of reading <br> growth | If students grow 1.5 years <br> on average, growth SPF <br> measurements will improve. | STAR grade level <br> improvement (moving <br> up grade level bands) |
| Attendance | 40\% of students at 95\% <br> ADA | SPF expectation. | ADA and tardy rates |
| Student/Paren <br> t Satisfaction | Increased student and <br> parent engagement and <br> satisfaction | Fairly low-lift work to affect <br> these measures on the SPF. | Student/Parent Survey <br> results in the Fall |

What's not included in the desired outcomes:

- Math growth specifically (Limited/no metrics to measure potential outcomes, focusing on overall test engagement)
- Status - historically Compass finds achieving this extremely difficult due to the few \# of kids at/near grade level.


## The most critical outcome to focus on this month is $95 \%$ test engagement (due to upcoming CMAS testing).

| Outcome | Recommended <br> Short-Term Cycle <br> Goal | Current Strategies to Reach <br> Those Goals | Recommended Strategies to <br> Reach Those Goals |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 95\% test <br> engagement | $-5 \%$ or less <br> students omitting <br> on ANet <br> $-3 \%$ or less opting <br> out on STAR | - Take STAR in ELA classes <br> - PRECISE strategies <br> emphasized in some <br> classes, messaging re: <br> omitting answers to <br> students | Strategies after Driver Diagram. |

## Our Driver Diagram for Student Test Engagement reveals focus areas and potential changes we can test.



In order to reach outcome of 95\% test engagement, and based on our driver diagram, our focus is on

- Test Taking Strategies/Messaging. Answering all questions, time management techniques (lessons in Opening Packs and during every test/exit ticket leading up to PARCC)
- Emotional/Physical State. Opening Pack lessons, planned Village Meeting prior to testing, and small group creation based on data.
- Teacher Preparedness. Teacher PD on test details and consistent messaging to students.
- Immediate Feedback/Personal Goals. Data-rich reflections and goal setting before and after testing.


## Test Engagement/Opt Out Outcome Data

Desired Outcome: 95\% test engagement
Measurement Metric: ANet omitted responses. STAR opt outs.

Short-term Cycle Goal: 5\% or fewer students omitting answers on ANet. 3\% or fewer Opting Out on STAR.

Students are omitting fewer questions on the ELA ANet each assessment, and they omit only approx. 1.2 answers on average. This points to strategies students may have to help them complete all/most questions before submitting the test and indicate increased engagement in ELA.

| ANet ELA Omitted Analysis - Changes from A1 to A3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 6th Grade |  | 7th <br> \%rade |  |  |  |
|  | \% of <br> Students <br> who <br> Omitted | Avg. <br> Questions <br> Omitted <br> per <br> Student | \% of <br> Students <br> who <br> Omitted | Avg. <br> Questions <br> Omitted <br> per <br> Student | \% of <br> Students <br> who <br> Omitted | Avg. <br> Questions <br> Omitted <br> per <br> Student |
| A1 | 36 | 1.2 | 18 | 1.9 | 27 | 2.1 |
| A2 | 29 | 1.3 | 19 | 1.1 | 13 | 1.3 |
| A3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Summary:

- Students are omitting questions on Anet at higher than desired rates.
- In 6th and 8th grade, student rates of omission have declined from A1 to A2.
- Though Students are still omitting answers, they are omitting few total questions on average.

Students are increasingly omitting answers on Math ANet, and students that are omitting do not answer a larger number of questions. Test strategies to help students answer all questions before submitting the test could greatly improve test engagement.

| ANet Math Omitted Analysis - Changes from A1 to A3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6th Grade |  | 7th Grade |  | 8th Grade |  |

## Summary:

- A very large \% of students in 7th and 8th are omitting answers and that \% has increased over each assessment.
- Students are omitting a large number of questions on average.
- Despite knowledge of omissions between A2 and A3 (and teacher efforts to curb them), omissions greatly increased in 7th and 8th.


## STAR Opt Out Rates continue to decline across all populations,

 indicating that testing strategies implemented are on track and working.STAR Opt Out Rates


## Summary:

- STAR Opt Outs decreased for all grades and all subpopulations from Jan to Feb, most dramatically for 6th grade and SpEd. Most populations increased opt out rates slightly in March.
- Met desired outcome in SpEd.

ANet goal of 5\% or less omitted was met in 6th grade Math only, and STAR opt outs under 3\% were met by 6th grade and SpEd . Math classes (with specific focus on girls) must focus on test strategies prior to CMAS testing or we risk low student engagement and performance.

| Data Recap |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Popul <br> ation | \% of <br> Students <br> omitting <br> on Last <br> ELA <br> ANet | \% of <br> Students <br> omitting <br> on last <br> Math <br> ANet | \% of <br> Students <br> Opting <br> Out on <br> last <br> STAR |
| 6th | $29 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| 7 th | $19 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| 8th | $13 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| ELL | $37 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| SpEd | $27 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Males | $22 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Femal | $17 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| es |  |  |  |

## TESTING ENGAGEMENT DATA SUMMARY

What were our intended results: 95\% test engagement, measured by $5 \%$ or fewer students omitting answers on ANet and $3 \%$ or fewer students Opting Out on STAR.

## Did we hit our outcome?:

- ANet omitted responses decreased in the ELA assessment, but increased greatly in Math in 7th and 8th grade. Only in 6th Math did we hit our desired outcome on ANet.
- High engagement with STAR indicates low opt out rates for all populations, and desired outcome was met in SpEd. Rates went up slightly in March.
Did we improve/not improve since last cycle?:
- Math ANet - NO
- ELA Anet - YES
- STAR - NO


## Other Data to Note:

- 8th grade had the lowest \% of students omitting on ANet and also has lowered STAR opt out rates.
- ELLs had highest \% of students omitting on Anet but one of lowest \% of students opting out on STAR.


## Overall Recommendation:

- Math classes (with specific focus on girls) must focus on test strategies prior to CMAS testing or we risk low student engagement and performance.


## Literacy Growth Outcome Data

Desired Outcome: 1.5 years of reading growth

Measurement Metric: STAR Grade Level Shifts, STAR grade level improvements.

Short-Term Cycle Goal: 10\% of students moving from remained to grow

All grades moved students up bands per STAR, but our students still have a significant gap between their current Grade Level performance and actual Grade Level. At all Grade Levels, we are most successful at bringing up the lowest readers, but less successful moving middle readers to at-grade level readers.

6th Grade Level Distribution Summary Month-to-Month


8th Grade Level Distribution Summary Month-to-Month


7th Grade Level Distribution Summary Month-to-Month


| Grade Level Shifts in Reading Tiers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below 3rd GL | 3rd-5th GL | At/Above 6th |
| 6th | 16\% decrease | 8\% increase | 7\% increase |
|  | Below 4th GL | 4th-6th GL | At/Above 7th |
| 7th | 23\% decrease | 18\% increase | 5\% increase |
|  | Below 4th GL | 4th-7th GL | At/Above 8th |
| 8th | 26\% decrease | 22\% increase | 4\% increase |

Summary: At all Grade Levels, we are most successful at bringing up the lowest readers, but less successful moving middle readers to at-grade level readers.

## Compass saw most growth for readers starting at a 2nd/3rd Grade Reading to a 4th/5th Grade Reading Level.

| Band Level Changes from Beginning of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Reading Grade <br> Level | 6th Grade | 7th Grade | 8th Grade | ELL | SpEd | Males | Females |
| $0.0-0.9$ | $-6 \%$ | $-7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $-4 \%$ | $-8 \%$ | $-4 \%$ | $-4 \%$ |
| $1.0-1.9$ | $-2 \%$ | $-1 \%$ | $-4 \%$ | $-2 \%$ | $-8 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $-4 \%$ |
| $2.0-2.9$ | $-8 \%$ | $-13 \%$ | $-8 \%$ | $-11 \%$ | $-11 \%$ | $-7 \%$ | $-12 \%$ |
| $3.0-3.9$ | $1 \%$ | $-3 \%$ | $-13 \%$ | $-5 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $-9 \%$ | $-3 \%$ |
| $4.0-4.9$ | $3 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $-2 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $5.0-5.9$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| $6.0-6.9$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $7.0-7.9$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| $8.0-8.9$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $-1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| $9.0-9.9$ | $0 \%$ | $-1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| $10.0-10.9$ |  | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $11.0-11.9$ |  | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| $12.0-12.9+$ |  | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | and 7th graders, but overall Reading Growth (0.7 years of growth) is short of our outcome.


| Populat <br> ion | YTD <br> Reading <br> Growth | Last <br> Month's <br> Reading <br> Growth |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6th | $\mathbf{0 . 7} \mathbf{y r s}$ | 0.1 yrs |
| 7th | $\mathbf{0 . 9} \mathbf{y r s}$ | 0.1 yrs |
| 8th | $\mathbf{0 . 8} \mathbf{y r s}$ | 0.6 yrs |
| ELL | $\mathbf{0 . 8} \mathbf{y r s}$ | 0.2 yrs |
| SpEd | $\mathbf{0 . 7} \mathbf{y r s}$ | 0.2 yrs |
| Males | $\mathbf{0 . 8} \mathbf{y r s}$ | 0.3 yrs |
| Females | $\mathbf{1 . 0} \mathbf{y r s}$ | 0.2 yrs |

## OUTCOME DATA SUMMARY

What were our intended results: 1.5 years of growth in reading.
Did we hit our outcome?:

- 7th/8th grade/ELLs and Females had highest reading growth rates as measured by STAR, but still did not hit the desired outcome.

Did we improve/not improve since last cycle?:

- YES, with all subpopulations. Largest increase in 8th grade.


## Overall Recommendations:

- Largest student bands in all grades are at a 4th grade reading level. Identify targeting strategy for that band.
- Focus reading efforts on SpEd and Male subpopulations.

STAR short-term cycle growth goal was met in 6th grade and Females, and partially met for ELLs (able to move some students towards growth and out of remained). Continuing the short-term cycle goal should feed into our desired outcome of 1.5 years of growth (and gaining SPF points in growth categories).

| Growth Movement from Feb to March STAR |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Change to Grow/Accelerate d Growth | Change to Remained | Change to <br> Dropped/Opted <br> Out | Meet ST <br> Cycle <br> Goal |
| 6th | $\xrightarrow{-11 \%}>$ | 4\% | 7\% | NO |
| 7th | -32\% | 22\% | 10\% | NO |
| 8th | 5\% | 10\% | -15\% | NO* |
| ELL | $-10 \%>$ | 12\% | -2\% | NO |
| SpEd | 26\% | -9\% | -17\% | YES |
| Males | $-4 \%>$ | 5\% | -1\% | NO* |
| Females | $-23 \%$ | 21\% | 2\% | NO |
| *Positively moved students out of lower performance levels, or positively moved students to growth levels, however. |  |  |  |  |

Please find the detailed graphs with month-to-month growth changes in the appendix.

## SHORT-TERM CYCLE DATA SUMMARY

What were our intended results: Moving 10\% of students from remained to grow on STAR.

Did we hit our short-term goal?:

- SpEd moved $26 \%$ of students remaining to Growth levels. 8th grade moved 5\% of students to Growth levels and 15\% out of lower bands.
- ELLs and Males moved students out of lower bands ( $2 \%$ and $1 \%$ movement).

Did we improve/not improve since last cycle?:

- Most students remained and moved out of month-to-month growth, indicating they are consistently performing at their grade level.


## Overall Recommendations:

- Continue to push students in dropped/opt out into higher bands.
- Share best practices between ELA teachers, especially with subpopulations


## Attendance Outcome Data

Desired Outcome: 40\% at 95\% ADA
Measurement Metric: PP attendance rates (with SPF exclusions) and IC tardy rates.

Short-Term Cycle Goal: 8\% more students at 95\%, or 40\% at 95\% ADA

Attendance declined dramatically in February but has improved across all grades in March. New students (7th and 8th grade) consistently struggle with attendance. To reach students on the cusp of $95 \%$ key targets are 6th/7th grade and ELLs.


8th Grade and new students struggle with attendance the most, and also have the highest tardy rates. March tardy rates have increased for all subpopulations, and may need additional targeting, as it may portend future attendance challenges.


| Scenario | Change | Est. Final <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Current status: $32 \%$, no <br> change | $0 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| With 100\% attendance for <br> those on the cusp | $+5.6 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ |
| With 1 day of recovery for <br> those on the cusp | $+9.4 \%$ | $41.4 \%$ |
| With 2 days of recovery <br> for those on the cusp | $+13.8 \%$ | $45.8 \%$ |

## ATTENDANCE DATA SUMMARY

What were our intended results: $40 \%$ of students at 95\% ADA

Did we hit our outcome?:

- Only $32 \%$ of students are at $95 \%$ ADA $=8 \%$ gap

Did we improve/not improve since last cycle?:

- We declined $1 \%$ in the $95 \%+$ tier from February to March

Overall Recommendation:

- Advertise and push after-school recovery in all spaces and at March Open House. Increase incentives to participate in after-school tutoring.


## Student/Parent Engagement Outcome Data

Desired Outcome: Improved Student and Parent Satisfaction and Engagement<br>Measurement Metric: Student/Parent Satisfaction Survey<br>Short-Term Cycle Goal: Made all calls. Provided info to families. Held student event.

Though the student and parent surveys are important, we recommend not including change ideas and data for this outcome this month, and instead focus on test engagement.

## Pending until April Internal Review Meeting

## Appendix: Additional Data Slides

# Though ELA omitted rates remain higher than desired, most students are omitting only an average of 1 response. 

ELA ANet Omitted Analysis - Grade Level Breakdown by Subpopulation
What \% of subpopulation in each grade omitted answers on each assessment, and on average how many questions did students omit?

| 6th Grade | A1 | A2 | A3 | Recommended Strategy/Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whole Grad | 29\% omitted (1 Q avg.) |  |  |  |
| ELL | 43\% omitted (1 Q avg.) | 40\% omitted (1 Q avg.) |  | Though high \# of students omitting, they on average omit only 1 question. Keep focus on literacy strategies. |
| SpEd | 25\% omitted (1 Q avg.) | 28\% omitted (1 Q avg.) |  |  |
| Male | $39 \%$ omitted (1 Q avg.) | $31 \%$ omitted (1 Q avg.) |  |  |
| Female | 30\% omitted (1 Q avg.) | 26\% omitted (1 Q avg.) |  |  |
| 7th Grade | A1 |  | A3 | Recommended Strategy/Target |
| Whole Grade | 20\% omitted (1 Q avg.) |  |  |  |
| ELL | 14\% omitted (2 Q avg.) | 26\% omitted (1 Q avg.) |  | Though high \# of students omitting, they on average omit only 1 question. Keep focus on literacy strategies. |
| SpEd | 14\% omitted (2 Q avg.) | 21\% omitted (1 Q avg.) |  |  |
| Male | 25\% omitted (2 Q avg.) | 18\% omitted (1 Q avg.) |  |  |
| Female | 12\% omitted (1 Q avg.) | $17 \%$ omitted (1 Q avg.) | A3 |  |
| 8th Grade | A1 | A2 |  | Recommended Strategy/Target |
| Whole Grade |  | 16\% omitted (1 Q avg.) |  |  |
| ELL | 37\% omitted (2 Q avg.) | 23\% omitted (1 Q avg.) |  |  |
| SpEd | 14\% omitted (4 Q avg.) | 17\% omitted (1 Q avg.) |  |  |
| Male | $21 \%$ omitted (2 Q avg.) | 16\% omitted (1 Q avg.) |  | Data for all populations trending positively. Keep |
| Female | 47\% omitted (2 Q avg.) | 9\% omitted (2 Q avg.) |  | focus on literacy strategies. |

Summary: Though students are still omitting answers at higher than desired outcome, students on average only omit answering 1 question. Continuing to drive general literacy and test-taking strategies in ELA spaces should continue to improve performance and drive engagement.

Unlike ELA, Math ANet Omitted analysis indicates major improvement is needed across all 7th and 8th grade spaces towards engagement and completion of the assessment.

| Math ANet Omitted Analysis - Grade Level Breakdown by Subpopulation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What \% of subpopulation in each grade omitted answers on each assessment, and on average how many questions did students omit? |  |  |  |  |
| 7th Grade | A1 | A2 | A3 | Recommended Strategy/Target |
| Whole Grade |  |  | 51\% omitted (8 Q avg.) |  |
| ELL | 25\% omitted (3 Q avg.) | 22\% omitted (8 Q avg.) | 47\% omitted (8 Q avg.) | \% of students omitted increased in all subpopulations. Target all students with math testtaking strategies. |
| SpEd | 0 omitted | 1 omitted | 29\% omitted (6 Q avg.) |  |
| Male | $30 \%$ omitted (13 Q avg.) | $30 \%$ omitted (7 Q avg.) | 51\% omitted (9 Q avg.) |  |
| Female | $33 \%$ omitted (11 Q avg.) | 24\% omitted (7 Q avg.) | 50\% omitted ( 7 Q avg.) |  |
| 8th Grade | A1 | A2 | A3 | Recommended Strategy/Target |
| Whole Grade |  |  | 43\% omitted (10 Q avg.) |  |
| ELL | 23\% omitted (3 Q avg.) | 24\% omitted (14 Q avg.) | 32\% omitted (11 Q avg.) | Females need most support (highest overall omitters as well as omitting most questions overall). Small group discussion (self-confidence and stamina) strategies, general testing strategies. |
| SpEd | $37 \%$ omitted (10 Q avg.) | 0 omitted | $31 \%$ omitted (8 Q avg.) |  |
| Male | $28 \%$ omitted (12 Q avg.) | $30 \%$ omitted (10 Q avg.) | $34 \%$ omitted (7 Q avg.) |  |
| Female | $37 \%$ omitted (17 Q avg.) | 64\% omitted (14 Q avg.) | 51\% omitted (12 Q avg.) |  |

Summary: The \% of students omitting on ANet is not on target for 7th and 8th grades (and all subpopulations), and students that do omit are not responding to approx. $33 \%$ of the total questions on each Assessment. Urgent test taking strategies are needed for both grades, with a specific focus on girls.

## Our Subpopulations follow the overall grade level trend of moving low readers to middle readers.

ELL Grade Level Distribution Summary Month-to-Month


SpEd Grade Level Distribution Summary Month-to-Month


Male Grade Level Distribution Summary Month-to-Month


Female Grade Level Distribution Summary Month-to-Month


## STAR Growth Month-Over-Month indicates most students moving into "Remained", indicating that performance month over month indicates current grade level performance.

6th Grade Month-to-Month Growth Performance - New Calculations


7th Grade Month-to-Month Growth Performance - New Calculations


8th Grade Month-to-Month Growth Performance - New Calculations


Subpopulation analysis indicates SpEd performance grew significantly, but other subpopulations matched grade level shift towards more students in remained levels.

Males Month to Month Growth Performance


ELL Month to Month Growth Performance


Females Month to Month Growth Performance


SpEd Month to Month Growth Performance


## Secretary

The secretary is responsible for ensuring that accurate documentation exists to meet legal requirements and for the authorizer "to determine when, how, and by whom the board's business was conducted.

## Responsibilities

The secretary supports the board's organizational needs, so the board achieves its goals and operates in accordance with its bylaws in the following areas:
> Keeps accurate minutes for each board meeting
$>$ Shares minutes with the board in a timely fashion
> Ensures that minutes are approved by the board in a recorded vote
> Makes sure the board adheres to open meeting laws and other legal requirements
$>$ Assumes responsibilities of the chair in the absence of the board chair and vice chair
> Provides notice of board and committee meetings to all board members
> Keeps all legal and official documents up-to-date
> Maintains the board's records and archives for future reference by board members, the authorizer, and others

## BOARD MEMBER CONTRIBUTIONS FORM

Compass Academy recognizes that board members contribute in many valuable ways - not only funds, but information, professional guidance, and in-kind resources. Therefore, each board member is asked to complete the following pledge form, which will facilitate the organization's fiscal planning.

For the 2018-19 School year, my contribution toward the support of the organization will be the following:

1. Personal contribution \$ $\qquad$
Please indicate: Check enclosed $\qquad$ Check(s) will be sent on the following date(s):

Please send me a reminder notice on: $\qquad$
I would like to charge my donation on a MC, Visa, or American Express Card (please check):
$\qquad$ One-time donation
$\qquad$ Monthly donation
2. My company will match $\$$ $\qquad$
3. I can assist with fundraising outreach to the following foundations, corporations, individuals (please list):
4. I can provide/identify in-kind resources/services (computer equipment, office supplies, furniture, volunteers, vehicles, tickets, professional services [public relations, legal, financial, etc,]):

Signature: $\qquad$

Date: $\qquad$

